

Dental and maxillofacial features of condylo-mandibular dysplasia: a case series of twenty-one patients

Hélios BERTIN^{1,2}, Roman-Hossein KHONSARI³, Jean DELAIRE¹, Pierre CORRE^{1,4}, Jacques MERCIER¹



- ¹ Service de chirurgie Maxillo-faciale et stomatologie, CHU de Nantes, 1 place Alexis Ricordeau, 44093 Nantes Cedex 1, France
- ² Laboratoire des sarcomes osseux et remodelage des tissus calcifiés (Phy.OS), UMR 1238, Faculté de médecine, 1 rue Gaston Veil, 44035 Nantes cedex, France
- ³ Chirurgie maxillo-faciale et plastique pédiatrique, Hôpital Necker enfants malades, 149 rue de Sèvres, 75015 Paris, France
- ⁴ Regenerative medicine and skeleton (RMeS), Faculté de Chirurgie Dentaire, 1 Place Alexis Ricordeau, 44042 Nantes, France

Introduction – Camel hump condylo-mandibulo-dysplasia (CMD) is a specific form of condyle dysostosis. It differs from craniofacial microsomia (CFM) as it entails the absence of soft tissue defects and normal-looking ears. The aim of this descriptive study was to refine the clinical and radiographic phenotype of CMD, and to discuss the therapeutic options.

Materials and Methods – Twenty-one patients with CMD were retrospectively analyzed in terms of the clinical (photographic analysis), the radiographic parameters (panoramic X-rays, CT scan), and the treatment modalities.

Results – The patients exhibited unilateral facial asymmetries that were of mandibular origin, with an elevated commissural line and occlusal cant, and a deviated chin on the side of the deformity. The soft tissues and the ears were always normal in terms of their physical appearance. The radiographic analysis generally revealed a short, curved, and anteriorly displaced condyle, with a high and sharp coronoid process. The CT scans revealed that the glenoid fossa was empty. Twelve patients (57.1%) exhibited dental defects, consisting mainly of dental inclusions affecting the first and/or the second permanent mandibular molars (10 patients). A good response to functional orthopedic treatment was achieved in 8 patients, while 13 patients required a mandibular lengthening procedure.

Conclusion – CMD is a bona fide congenital condyle deformity that has to be recognized and differentiated from CFM in order to be able to provide customized treatments.

Bertin H, Merlet FL, Khonsari RH, Delaire J, Corre P, Mercier J. Dental and maxillofacial features of condylo-mandibular dysplasia: A case series of 21 patients. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg*. 2020 Oct;48(10):956-961. doi: 10.1016/j.jcms.2020.07.007. Epub 2020 Jul 25. PMID: 32773219.